









Electronic Discovery
Why "Yikes!"
 Myth #1: "It's expensive" Properly managing Electronic Discovery Projects will significantly reduce costs of both production and review.
 Actions: Acquire MD5 Hash document information to identify duplicates
 Provide alternatives to producing a high number of pages for large documents (i.e. limit documents to 50 pages)
 Identify documents based on their location in the document population, person or department. Exclude irrelevant documents.
 Eliminate (or include) emails and documents by searching the metadata and text of all documents for keywords, dates, privileged information, people or
 concepts. Provide a review set in images leaving print production
to responsive documents as a second step in the process



Electronic Discovery
Why "Yikes!"
Myth #1: "It's expensive"
 Results: Eliminating duplicate documents can reduce total population %10 - %80
 Culling documents through keyword searches, date range limitations or privileged content can provide a double digit document reduction.
 Use of litigation support tools (Concordance or Summation, fo instance) will eliminate production of the entire document set paper.
 All these steps result in: reduced production size
 reduced review time reduced overall project management expenses reduced expense





Why "Yikes!"

- Myth #2: "I can get by with paper"
 - 99% of all corporate documents are created electronically *
 - 50% or more of all corporate data exists ONLY in electronic format *
 - A paper document does not contain all the critical information relevant to your discovery process

* Larry Johnson, Esq. LTG Digital Data News January, 2003 Vol 1.



Ed Hamilton

- From: Jason Stiles [jasonstiles@comcast.net]
- Sent: Tuesday, June 15, 2004 10:31 PM
- To: 'Ed Hamilton'
- Subject: RE: Trade?

What if we did Ichiro for Bonds and Michael Young... Young is on the verge of, if not already a hold player for next year. Think about it...

Thanks,

Jason Stiles

-----Original Message-----From: Ed Hamilton [mailto:edhamilton@comcast.net] Sent: Tuesday, June 15, 2004 8:14 AM To: 'Jason Stiles' Subject: RE: Trade?

Jason,

Sorry I didn't get back to you. Having a crazy day, week and month.

You want an Ichiro for Bonds? I don't think I would be interested. Ichiro has too many categories he gets me help in – steals, hits, avg. My closers I'm keeping, for now, as I need the stats – it's the only pitching category I'm doing anything in. Some sort of trade for someone else with numbers could help. Let me look into your team and see what Mesa's done lately.

At this point I haven't tossed in the towel so I'm not looking to loose hold players. Nonetheless, I'll take a look.

Ed



Email on Paper:

Recipient

Subject

Body

Sent on Date

From

•

Ed Hamilton	Email after Electronic Discover
From: Jason Stiles [jasonstiles@comcast.net] Sent: Tuesday, June 15, 2004 10:31 PM To: 'Ed Hamilton' Subject: RE: Trade? What if we did lchiro for Bonds and Michael Young Young is on the verge of, if not already a hold player for next year. Think about it Thanks, Jason Stiles Original Message From: Ed Hamilton [mailto:edhamilton@comcast.net] Sent: Tuesday, June 15, 2004 8:14 AM To: 'Jason Stiles' Subject: RE: Trade? Jason, Sorry I didn't get back to you. Having a crazy day, week and month. You want an Ichiro for Bonds? I don't think I would be interested. Ichiro has too many categories he gets me help in - steals, hits, avg. My closers I'm keeping, for now, as I need the stats - it's the only pitching category I'm doing anything in. Some sort of trade for someone else with numbers could help. Let me look into your team and see what Mesa's done lately. At this point I haven't tossed in the towel so I'm not looking to loose hold players. Nonetheless, I'll take a look. Ed	 From Date Created Date Sent Date Received Recipient (s) CCs BCCs Subject Body Entry ID Email Hash Value (de-dupine) Attachments Count of attachments
	 Attachment Names Documents types Parent/Child relationships maintained
This information is stored in a databa	ase and is fully searchable !!



	Me	emora	ndun	n					cumer Text	its on	Раре
		All Staff Human Reso	urces								
	Re:	Sexual Harra		у				_			
	Attache	d please find t	he new versio	on of the co	mpany's se	xual harrassm	ent policy.				
		_									



<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text></text></text></text></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>	 Documents After Electronic Discovery: Filename Document Type (i.e. MS Word) File Location Date Created Date Last Modified Date Last Printed File Size Author Company
This information is stored in a database and is fully searchable !!	 Subject Keywords Comments Last Edited By Hash value Where the document car from (loose file, email attachment, zip file, etc.)



Electronic Discovery	
Revisiting "Yikes!"	
Myth #1 "It's expensive"	
 Specific actions can significantly reduce the production and thereby expenses. De-duping (removing exact duplicates) Limiting document selection by: Date Ranges Document Source Key Words Names 	
Myth #2: "I can get by with paper"	
Paper documents represent the tip of the iceberg for the collection potential information. Truth is, "You can get by without paper!"	on of



Electronic Discovery
A Real World Example
Data Set (Currently Under Production): 11 CDs of loose files – 5.25 GB 2 DVDs (Double-sided) – 13.6 GB
 Production Steps: Index all files – capture metadata and MD5 Hash Identify documents – Primary or Secondary Cross-reference documents to earlier indexed/produced sets to identify previously encountered documents Eliminate documents by metadata or text searching (opt.) Produce all Primary documents Provide custom Concordance database Provide image load file Provide database of all exception files Provide original metadata database with cross-reference to produced images where applicable



A Real World Example

Produced to Date:

5 CDs – 1.91 GB

Documents: 8,530 Duplicate Documents: 2,261

Total Images (single page tiff): 109,376 Original Production (excl. dupes): 82,375 Non-produced duplicates: 27,001 Production Cost Savings: 25%

Estimated Savings on Total Project: 270,010 Images

